



LUMUN XVI

RULES OF PROCEDURE

Prime Minister's Economic
Advisory Council



16th Annual Conference

30th December, 2019 - 3rd January, 2020



The council would be following standard MUN Rules of Procedure with the following changes:

Technology: The council would be paperless, with electronic devices being allowed in all sessions. Each personality would receive his dedicated email account, and would use this to communicate with the chairperson, the crisis staff, and other delegates.

Individual Speaking Time: There would be no individual speaking time in moderated causes or in the general speaker's list. However, delegates would be strongly encouraged to keep their speeches concise and the chairperson has the authority to ask them to take their seat at any time.

Directives: The council may pass directives in order to take an action with respect to an economic issue they have been discussing. It would have to pass by a 2/3rd majority, and would require the approval of the Prime Minister before it can go into force (as, without the Prime Minister's approval, the council is just advisory). Directives are likely to be issued in response to particular crisis updates, however, the council would not rely on them too heavily as the primary purpose of the council would still be to provide economic advice, rather than take action.



Policy Statements: Would act as the resolution of the council. Policy Statements would only possess signatories and would require a $\frac{2}{3}$ rd majority to pass, ensuring that delegates compromise and negotiate - enshrining the LUMUN spirit. Policy statements would outline the government intentions, plans, and solutions on a topic area. While an actual policy will not be formulated, these statements are expected to be sufficiently comprehensive such that a policy could, hypothetically be formulated from its provisions. They would not have any format, and would be preceded by working papers. The council can only pass one policy statement per topic.

Crisis Updates: Crisis updates can be of two types: public and personal. A public update would be announced to the committee by the chairperson. A private update would be sent to an individual delegate in the form of an email. The dais can use crisis updates to influence the direction of the committee but will attempt to give the delegates as much autonomy in deciding the direction of the committee via press releases and speeches within committee. Crisis updates can also be based on or inspired from discussions/speeches in the council.

Press Releases: Press releases would be the council's way to interact with the crisis. They are important as can influence the actions of actors external to the



council, and should, hence, be worded with extreme care. The council would collectively formulate a press release, with no pre-decided format, to make available to the media. These would only possess signatories, and each release would require 1/10th of the committee to be a signatory in order to be submitted. Once approved by the chairperson, motions can be raised to introduce, discuss, and/or vote on directives. They would need 2/3rd vote majority to pass a press release.

Summons: At appropriate times during the conference, the cabinet may summon a personality/representative external to the council for a Question and Answer session. The chairperson will inform the cabinet when this is possible, depending on the availability of a shadow speaker and the current crisis timeline.

Chairperson: The chairpersons would be the Prime Minister (Imran Khan) himself, as he does head the council. The chairpersons would have to sign off on a Policy Statement for it to come into effect. The chairpersons would have the authority to suspend rules of procedure, propose recommendations to the council, and even cross-question delegates.



Critique Sessions: At the end of each day, after the adjournment of debate, the delegates would have an opportunity to receive individual critique from the dais collectively in a structured manner. The last 15-20 minutes of the session would be reserved for this; delegates would be asked to wait outside the committee room and would be called in one by one to receive 1-2 minutes of critique from the dais. Taking critique would not be mandatory for delegates. No further attempts by either delegates or their coaches to seek out critique would be entertained. The chairperson would have the authority to choose to skip the critique session for a particular day, and continue debate in its place instead.

Language: Language would be strictly English, given that it is the language in which most individuals would best understand economic terminologies and jargon.